UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,271	03/04/2004	Martinus Agnes Willem Cuijpers	081468-0308590	4234
909 PILLSBURY V	7590 10/01/200 WINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER		
Eric S. Cherry - Docketing Supervisor			NGUYEN, HANH N	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2834		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		. 10/792,271	10/792,271 CUIJPERS, MARTINUS AGNES WILLEM			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nguyen N. Hanh	2834			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)[	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-20 and 24-30 is/are pending	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17,18,24 and 25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-16,19,20 and 26-30 is/are re	ejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		a in this National Stage			
* 9	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` , ,,	ad.			
	see the attached detailed office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	· <b>u</b> .			
Attachmen	nt(s)		•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

1. In view of amendments, the Examiner withdraws the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-16, 19 and 20. However, the claims are not in a condition for allowance in view of new ground of rejection. The addition of claims 26-30 has been acknowledged.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 9-16, 19, 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9-16, 19, 20, 26-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwamoto et al (US 2002/0018195).

Regarding claim 1, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system for carrying and moving an object (5 in Fig. 4B) in a plane, comprising: an object carrier (38 in Fig. 3A and 3B); a first and a second linear actuator (top and bottom actuator associated with top and bottom stator 25 in Fig. 4A) configured to support said object carrier (by means of bearings 35 surround guide bar 29 in Fig. 4B) and move said object carrier in a first direction (X direction in Fig. 4), said first and second linear actuators extending in

parallel along said first direction (X direction), said first and second linear actuators being electromagnetic linear actuators comprising: a magnetic structure (top and bottom magnet 27), and a coil structure (paragraph 0061, lines 4-5), wherein the coil structure and the magnetic structure are positioned relative to each other and separated by an air bearing (bearings 35 surround guide bar 29 and line 9 of paragraph 0061) configured to support said object carrier during motion at a first position along said first direction, the first position being on one end of whichever of the magnetic structure (by means bearings 29 that surround bar 29) or coil structure is connected to the object carrier, and at a second position displaced from the first position along said first direction, the second position being on the opposite end of whichever of the magnetic structure or coil structure is connected to the object carrier, wherein the first and second positions and whichever of the magnetic structure or coil structure is connected to the object carrier are substantially in a same plane (guide bar 29 is movable in X direction in a plane parallel with base 4); and a third and a fourth linear actuator (left and right actuator associated with top and bottom stator 24 in Fig. 4A) configured to move said object carrier in a second direction (Y direction), said third and fourth linear actuators extending in parallel along said second direction (Y direction), wherein both the coil structure and the magnetic structure of the first and second linear actuators are moveable with respect to each other or both a coil structure and a magnetic structure of the third and fourth linear actuators are moveable with respect to each other (guide bars 28 and 29 which carry permanent magnet are movable relative to stator 24 and 25), the coil structure or the magnetic structure of the respective pair of coil structure and

Application/Control Number: 10/792,271

Art Unit: 2834

magnetic structure of the respective linear actuator configured as a balance mass to receive reaction forces arising from the movement of the other of the coil structure or the magnetic structure (the system is symmetrical as shown in Fig. 4A).

Regarding claim 2, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said third and fourth linear actuators are adapted to support said first and second linear actuators (by means of guide bar 28, slider 38 and bearings 34, 35).

Regarding claim 4, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said third and fourth linear actuators each comprise an air bearing (34) to support said first and second linear actuators.

Regarding claim 9, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said object carrier (38 in Fig. 4B) is positioned relative to said first and second linear actuators (25) such that a vertical line through a center of gravity of said object carrier is located between said first and second linear actuators (when X direction is viewed as vertical direction).

Regarding claim 10, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said first and second linear actuators (25) are positioned relative to said third and fourth linear actuators (24) such that a common center of gravity of said first and second linear actuators is positioned between said third and fourth linear actuators.

Regarding claim 11, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said first and second linear actuators (25) are substantially symmetrically positioned with respect to the center of gravity of said object carrier (38).

Regarding claim 12, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said third and fourth linear actuators (24) are substantially symmetrically positioned with respect to the common center of gravity of the first and second linear actuators (25).

Regarding claim 13, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said first and second linear actuators (25) are positioned at opposite ends of the object carrier (38).

Regarding claim 14, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said third and fourth linear actuators (24) are positioned at opposite ends of said first and second linear actuators (38).

Regarding claim 15, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said second direction (Y) is perpendicular to said first direction (X).

Regarding claim 16, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system further comprising a control system (paragraph 0038) configured to control said first and second linear actuators.

Regarding claims 19, 20, 26-28 and 30, it is noted that all limitations of the method claims have been fulfilled by Iwamoto et al. as in claims 1, 2, 4, 9, and 10.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 7, 8 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwamoto et al. in view of Chitayat (US 5,519,266).

Regarding claims 5 and 7, Iwamoto et al. teach that the relative positioning of the coil structure and the magnetic structure of the four linear actuators is configured such that the magnetic poles is positioned opposing the teeth, the coil structure and the magnetic structure being separated by an air bearing, but it does not teach the specifics of the components of the linear actuators.

However, Chitayat teaches linear actuators comprising: a magnetic structure (Fig. 3, #54) having a row of alternating magnetic poles on an outer surface thereof, said row being oriented in said first direction; and a coil structure (Fig. 3, #45) having an iron core (Fig. 3, #44) with a number of teeth in a row orientated in said first direction and having a number of coils wound around a respective number of said teeth for the purpose of increasing power of the actuator (Col. 2, lines 37-40).

Since Iwamoto et al. and Chitayat are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Chitayat would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Iwamoto et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the linear actuators of Iwamoto et al. in view of the linear actuator as taught by Chitayat for the purpose of increasing power of the actuator.

Regarding claim 8, Iwamoto et al. disclose a system wherein said air bearing (35 at top of base 4) for separating the coil structure and the magnetic structure is adapted to support said first and second linear actuators.

Regarding claim 29, it is noted that all limitations of the method claims have been fulfilled by Iwamoto et al. as in claim 7.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Information on How to Contact USPTO

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)

273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

HNN

September 25, 2007

DANG LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER